

# Stable Higher Specht Polynomials

Shaul Zemel

PYTH5 Conference, Kalamata, Greece

June 3, 2025

# Notation

$S_n$  - The symmetric group on  $n$  elements.

$S_{\mathbb{N}}$  - The symmetric group acting on  $\mathbb{N}$

$S_{\infty} := \{\sigma \in S_{\mathbb{N}} \mid \exists n \ \sigma(m) = m \ \forall m > n\}$

$\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n] := \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

$\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_{\infty}] := \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$

$\mathbb{Q}[\![\mathbf{x}_{\infty}]\!] := \mathbb{Q}[\![x_1, x_2, \dots]\!]$  (formal power series)

$\Lambda$  - The ring of symmetric functions. We thus have:

$$\Lambda = \{F \in \mathbb{Q}[\![\mathbf{x}_{\infty}]\!] \mid \sigma F = F \ \forall \sigma \in S_{\mathbb{N}}, \ \deg F < \infty\}.$$

# Actions and Representations

The group  $S_n$  acts on  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]$  by interchanging the variables.

Similarly,  $S_{\mathbb{N}}$  acts on  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_{\infty}]$ , as does its subgroup  $S_{\infty}$ . They also act trivially on elements of  $\Lambda$ .

Both  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]$  and  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_{\infty}]$  are graded rings, with the usual grading. The actions preserve degrees.

$\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]_d$  - The part of  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]$  that is homogeneous of degree  $d$ .

Similarly  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_{\infty}]_d$  and  $\Lambda_d$ .

# A Phenomenon

$$\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]_1^0 := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \mid \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0 \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]_1.$$

It is an irreducible sub-representation, and we have

$$\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]_1 = \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]_1^0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}e_1^{(n)}, \text{ where } e_1^{(n)} := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i. \text{ Same } \forall n \geq 2.$$

$$\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_\infty]_1^0 := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_\infty]_1 \mid \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i = 0 \right\}.$$

It is irreducible, of co-dimension 1, but with no complement. The element  $e_1$  is no longer in  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_\infty]$ , but rather in  $\Lambda$ .

# Partitions and Tableaux

$\lambda \vdash n$  indicates that  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$  (or its Ferrers diagram).

$\text{SYT}(\lambda)$  - The set of standard Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  (and content  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ).

$\text{SSYT}(\lambda)$  - The set of standard Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ , containing non-negative integers.

For example,  $\lambda = 431 \vdash 8$ , then  $T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)$  and  $M \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda)$  with

$$T := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 8 & \\ \hline 6 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad M := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & \\ \hline 4 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

# The Irreducible Representations of $S_n$

## Theorem (classical)

The irreducible representations of  $S_n$  are in one-to-one correspondence with partitions of  $n$ . The representation  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$  that is associated with  $\lambda \vdash n$  has dimension  $f^\lambda := |\text{SYT}(\lambda)|$ .

The abstract representation  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$  (or its classical construction using classes of tableaux) is called a *Specht module*.

Higher Specht polynomials, and their generalizations, span realizations of Specht modules as representations inside  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]$ .

# Row and Column Subgroups

Fix  $\lambda \vdash n$  and  $T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)$ . Then there are subgroups

$R(T) := \{\tau \in S_n \mid \tau \text{ preserves the rows of } T\}$  and

$C(T) := \{\sigma \in S_n \mid \sigma \text{ preserves the columns of } T\}$ .

The module  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$  is spanned by  $\{\mathbf{e}_T \mid T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)\}$ , where  $e_T$  is the *polytabloid* associated with  $T$ . We have  $\sigma \mathbf{e}_T = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \mathbf{e}_T$  for  $\sigma \in C(T)$ .

$$T := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 8 & \\ \hline 6 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$R(T) = S_{\{1,2,4,7\}} \times S_{\{3,5,8\}} \subseteq S_8$$

$$C(T) = S_{\{1,3,6\}} \times S_{\{2,5\}} \times S_{\{4,8\}} \subseteq S_8$$

# Specht Polynomials

$$T := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 8 & \\ \hline 6 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$R(T) = S_{\{1,2,4,7\}} \times S_{\{3,5,8\}} \subseteq S_8$$

$$C(T) = S_{\{1,3,6\}} \times S_{\{2,5\}} \times S_{\{4,8\}} \subseteq S_8$$

$$\sigma \mathbf{e}_T = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \mathbf{e}_T \text{ for } \sigma \in C(T).$$

A natural polynomial is then the *Specht polynomial*

$$F_{C^0, T} := \prod_{c \in \text{Col}(T)} \prod_{i < j \in c} (x_j - x_i)$$

In our example it is  $(x_3 - x_1)(x_6 - x_1)(x_6 - x_3)(x_5 - x_2)(x_8 - x_4)$ .

# Representations on Specht Polynomials

## Theorem (old - Specht, Peel, Murphy)

Given  $\lambda \vdash n$ , set  $F_{C^0, T} := \prod_{c \in \text{Col}(T)} \prod_{i < j \in c} (x_j - x_i)$  for every  $T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{F}_{C^0, T} \mid T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)\}$  span a representation of  $S_n$  that is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$ .

The higher Specht polynomials produce additional copies of  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$  inside  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]$ , originally by Ariki, Terasoma, and Yamada to obtain an explicit expression for the co-invariant ring as a regular representation of  $S_n$ . We define directly the generalized higher Specht polynomials.

# For Generalized Higher Specht Polynomials

Take  $\lambda \vdash n$ ,  $T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)$ , and  $M \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda)$ .

$v_T(i)$  - The box containing an index  $1 \leq i \leq n$  in  $T$ .

$M[v]$  - The value showing up in  $M$  inside the box  $v \in \lambda$ .

The *Young symmetrizer* is  $\varepsilon_T := \sum_{\sigma \in C(T)} \sum_{\tau \in R(T)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma \tau$ .

We define  $p_{M,T} := \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{M[v_T(i)]}$ . In our example

$$T := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 8 & \\ \hline 6 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad M := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & \\ \hline 4 & & & \\ \hline \end{array},$$

we have  $p_{M,T} = x_3 x_4^2 x_5^2 x_6^4 x_7^5 x_8^4$ .

# Generalized Higher Specht Polynomials

## Definition (Generalized Higher Specht Polynomials)

Set  $p_{M,T} := \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{M[v_T(i)]}$ , write  $s_{M,T}$  for the size of the stabilizer of  $p_{M,T}$  in  $R(T)$ , and define  $F_{M,T} := \varepsilon_T p_{M,T} / s_{M,T}$ .

When  $C^0$  is the minimal element of  $\text{SSYT}(\lambda)$ , this reproduces  $F_{C^0,T}$ .

## Theorem (Peel, Murphy, Gillespie–Rhoades)

The space  $V_M$  spanned by  $\{F_{M,T} \mid T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)\}$  is a representation of  $S_n$  that is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$  by  $F_{M,T} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_T$ .

Dividing by  $s_{M,T}$  makes  $F_{M,T}$  primitive in  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}_n]$ . This scalar depends on  $M$  but not on  $T$ , and just rescales the representation at this point.

# Extended Column Subgroups

Recall that  $C(T) := \{\sigma \in S_n \mid \sigma \text{ preserves the columns of } T\}$ .

Write  $\tilde{C}(T)$  for the group containing  $C(T)$  but in which full columns of the same size can also be permuted. It is the normalizer  $N_{S_n}(C(T))$ , and a semi-direct product  $(R(T) \cap \tilde{C}(T)) \rtimes C(T)$ .

The sign character on  $C(T)$  and the trivial character on  $R(T) \cap \tilde{C}(T)$  combine to a character  $\widetilde{\text{sgn}} : \tilde{C}(T) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ .

$$T := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 8 & \\ \hline 6 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$C(T) = S_{\{1,3,6\}} \times S_{\{2,5\}} \times S_{\{4,8\}} \subseteq S_8$$

$R(T) \cap \tilde{C}(T) = \langle (24)(58) \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{C}(T)$  is the semi-direct product.

# Actions of Extended Column Subgroups

Let  $\lambda \vdash n$ ,  $T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)$ , and  $M \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda)$  be given. Recall the group  $\tilde{C}(T) = (R(T) \cap \tilde{C}(T)) \rtimes C(T)$  and its character  $\widetilde{\text{sgn}} : \tilde{C}(T) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ .

## Proposition

The generalized higher Specht polynomial  $F_{M,T}$  is in the  $\widetilde{\text{sgn}}$ -eigenspace of the action of  $\tilde{C}(T)$ .

## Corollary

The quotient  $Q_{M,T} := F_{M,T}/F_{C^0,T}$  is  $\tilde{C}(T)$ -invariant.

## Conjecture

The symmetric functions inside  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]$  and the quotients  $Q_{C,T}$ , where  $C$  runs over the cocharge tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ , generate the ring of  $\tilde{C}(T)$ -invariants inside  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]$ .

# Extending Tableaux

## Definition

- For  $\lambda \vdash n$ , let  $\lambda_+ \vdash n+1$  be  $\lambda$  plus another box in the first row.
- Given  $T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)$ , we denote by  $\iota T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda_+)$  the tableau filled as  $T$  plus  $n+1$  in the new box.
- If  $M \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda)$  then  $\hat{\iota} M \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda_+)$  is obtained from  $M$  by shoving the first row one box to the right, and filling with a 0.

$$T := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 8 & \\ \hline 6 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$
$$M := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & \\ \hline 4 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$
$$\iota T := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 & 9 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 8 & & \\ \hline 6 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$
$$\hat{\iota} M := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & & \\ \hline 4 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

# The Key Relation

Let  $\lambda \vdash n$ ,  $T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda)$ , and  $M \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda)$  be given, and set  $\lambda_+ \vdash n+1$ ,  $\iota T \in \text{SYT}(\lambda_+)$ , and  $\hat{\iota} M \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda_+)$  be as above. We then have  $F_{M,T} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]$  and  $F_{\hat{\iota} M, \iota T} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_{n+1}]$ .

## Proposition

We have the equality  $F_{\hat{\iota} M, \iota T}(x_{n+1} = 0) = F_{M,T}$ . Moreover, if  $M$  contains enough zeros, then  $F_{\hat{\iota} M, \iota T}$  is the only element of the  $\widetilde{\text{sgn}}$ -eigenspace of  $\tilde{C}(\iota T)$  which satisfies this equality.

The division by  $s_{M,T}$  in the definition is crucial here. By repeated applications, we get the following result.

## Theorem

There is a homogeneous power series  $F_{\hat{M}, \hat{T}} \in \mathbb{Q}[[\mathbf{x}_\infty]]$  that is symmetric in  $\{x_m \mid m > n\}$  and satisfies  $F_{\hat{M}, \hat{T}}(x_m = 0 \ \forall m > n) = F_{M,T}$ .

The series  $F_{\hat{M}, \hat{T}}$  is an inverse limit of  $\{F_{\hat{\iota} M, \iota T} \rightarrow F_{M,T}\}$ .

# Eventually Symmetric Functions

We denote by  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  the ring of *eventually symmetric functions*. Namely if  $S_{\mathbb{N}}^{(n)} := \{\sigma \in S_{\mathbb{N}} \mid \sigma(i) = i \ \forall 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  then

$$\tilde{\Lambda} := \{F \in \mathbb{Q}[\![\mathbf{x}_\infty]\!] \mid \exists n \text{ s.t. } \sigma F = F \ \forall \sigma \in S_{\mathbb{N}}^{(n)}, \deg F < \infty\}.$$

These are also known as *almost symmetric functions*.

## Proposition

- The ring  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  is generated inside  $\mathbb{Q}[\![\mathbf{x}_\infty]\!]$  by  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_\infty]$  and  $\Lambda$  in an algebraically independent manner.
- Representations inside  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  are the same for  $S_{\mathbb{N}}$  and for  $S_\infty$ .

# Stable Representations

For  $\lambda \vdash n$  and  $d \geq 0$ , write  $\text{SSYT}_d(\lambda)$  for the set of those  $M \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda)$  whose entry sum is  $d$ .

## Theorem

For every  $n$  and  $d$ , a decomposition of  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]_d$  into irreducibles as a representation of  $S_n$  is given by  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} \bigoplus_{M \in \text{SSYT}_d(\lambda)} V_M$ .

## Proposition

If  $n > 2d$  then  $\hat{\iota} : \bigcup_{\lambda \vdash n} \text{SSYT}_d(\lambda) \rightarrow \bigcup_{\mu \vdash n+1} \text{SSYT}_d(\mu)$  is a bijection.

Combined with the theorem, this presents  $\{\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]_d\}_n$  as a *stable representation*, also known as a *finitely generated FI-module*.

This is the “same  $\forall n \geq 2$ ” assertion for  $d = 1$  from above.

## Infinite Tableaux

## Definition

- An *infinite Ferrers diagram* is a Ferrers diagram in which the first row is infinite. We denote that by  $\hat{\lambda} \vdash \infty$ .
- An element  $\hat{T}$  of  $\text{SYT}(\hat{\lambda})$  is a filling of  $\hat{\lambda}$  in a standard manner.
- We write  $\hat{M} \in \text{SSYT}(\hat{\lambda})$  for a semi-standard filling of  $\hat{\lambda}$ , with an indication of the finitely many non-zero entries at the “end” of the first, infinite line.

$$\hat{T} := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 & 9 & \cdots \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 8 & & & & \\ \hline 6 & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \hat{M} := \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & & & & \\ \hline 4 & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array},$$

where in  $\hat{M}$  we also consider a 2 and a 5 at the “end” of the infinite row.

# Representations of Infinite Symmetric Groups

## Theorem

- For  $\hat{\lambda} \vdash \infty$ ,  $\hat{T} \in \text{SYT}(\hat{\lambda})$ , and  $\hat{M} \in \text{SSYT}(\hat{\lambda})$  there is a construction of an element  $F_{\hat{M}, \hat{T}} \in \hat{\Lambda}$  as the image of a monomial  $p_{\hat{M}, \hat{T}}$  under a “Young symmetrizer  $\varepsilon_{\hat{T}}$  modulo stabilizer”.
- This construction produces precisely the inverse limits of  $\{F_{\hat{M}, \iota T} \rightarrow F_{M, T}\}$ .
- The space  $V_{\hat{M}}$  spanned by  $\{F_{\hat{M}, \hat{T}} \mid \hat{T} \in \text{SYT}(\hat{\lambda})\}$ , as a basis, is an irreducible representation of both  $S_{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $S_{\infty}$ .
- The isomorphism classes of irreducible representations obtained in this way is in bijection with infinite Ferrers diagrams  $\hat{\lambda} \vdash \infty$ .

# Limits of Stable Representations

We let  $\text{SSYT}_d(\hat{\lambda})$  consist of those  $\hat{M} \in \text{SSYT}(\hat{\lambda})$  whose entry sum (including the entries at the “end” of the infinite row) is  $d$ .  
We can now present the direct limit of  $\{\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_n]_d\}_n$ .

## Theorem

The sum  $\sum_{\hat{\lambda} \vdash \infty} \sum_{\hat{M} \in \text{SSYT}(\hat{\lambda})} V_{\hat{M}}$  is finite and direct, and produces the maximal completely reducible sub-representation  $\tilde{\Lambda}_d^0$  inside  $\tilde{\Lambda}_d$ .

For  $d = 1$  we have  $\tilde{\Lambda}_1^0 = \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_\infty]_1^0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}e_1$  (see above).

There is also a conjectural finite filtration, with explicit maximal completely reducible sub-quotients, yielding the full representation  $\tilde{\Lambda}_d$ .  
Intersecting this filtration with  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{x}_\infty]_d$  presents it, or its semi-simplification, as the inverse limit of this stable representation.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.07097>

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.07098>

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.07099>

Thank you very much for your attention!  
shaul.zemel@mail.huji.ac.il